

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUA	AL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)		
RE-INS	SPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 1010075 DATE: <u>5/2</u>	<u>9/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:12</u>	<b>DEPART:</b> <u>11:46</u>		
FACILITY NAME: OLDCASTLE MATT STONE HOLDINGS , INC.					
FACILITY LOCATION: 3749 COPELAND DR.					
ZEPHYRHILLS 33540-0000					
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JE	EFF DEAN	РНС	ONE: (813)367-9780		
CONTACT NAME: Ron Rogers		PHO	ONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 9/14/2 (effective			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMP</u>	LIANCE STATUS (che	eck only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emissions test 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ts conducted during this	site visit according to EPA	. Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Yes 🗌 No	
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted					
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and cont a) Was the batching opera	inue on to question 5.)	the visible emissions test?-	[] []	Yes ☐ No Yes ☐ No	
b) During the visible emis	sions test, was the batch	ing rate representative of th	he normal batching rate and		
<ol><li>If emissions from the weig from the silo dust collector</li></ol>	th hopper (batcher) operar, are the visible emission	ation are controlled by a du ns tests of the weigh hoppe	st collector, which is separate		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIR	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work are	eas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\times} No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of					
particulate matter from stock piles?					
	tigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? $\overline{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\overline{\square}$ No				
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURI</u>	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
	□Yes ⊠ No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-					
local program office.	103				
N ID I	E (0.0.10E)				
Neal B. Janis	5/29/07				
The state of the s					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	4				
	1 year				
Towns of a 2 of the second	A ' D. (a CNL ( L ( '				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
<b>COMMENTS:</b> 84,000 gal low sulfer fuel. Approx. 164,000	tons material a year average.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,				